UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,955	03/02/2004	In Hwan Choi	2080-3023C2	3680
	7590 06/12/200 DEGERMAN, KANG &		EXAMINER	
660 S. FIGUEROA STREET			AN, SHAWN S	
Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,955	CHOI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHAWN AN	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 41-82 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest spending in the application 5) Claim(s) 41-77 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 78-82 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to by the Examination Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is provided in the correction of the co	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No. <u>09/933,280</u> . ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/791,955 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on 5/06/08 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/791,955 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Amendment

2. As per Applicant's instructions as filed on 5/06/08, claims 1-40 have been canceled, claims 42-45, and 48 have been amended, claims 41, 46-47, and 49-77 have been previously presented, and claims 78-82 have been newly added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 78-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knutson et al (6,788,710 B1) in view of Citta et al (5,602,595) and Limberg (6,201,564 B1).

Regarding claims 78 and 81, Knutson et al discloses a method of processing a digital television (DTV) signal in a DTV receiver comprising:

receiving a DTV signal (Fig. 2, 18; Fig. 7, 70) including a data frame including a field sync signal containing multiplexing information, normal data (Fig. 8, 83), and robust data (80) multiplexed (88) with the normal data, wherein predefined sequences (82) are inserted into the robust data (86; col. 6, lines 35-45), the normal data and the robust

Art Unit: 2621

data results from performing a forward error correction (Reed-Soloman encoding) operation once (Fig. 2, 10).

Knutson et al performs the forward error correction operation of robust data once as opposed to <u>twice</u>. Furthermore, Knutson et al teaches an interleaver (11), following the Reed-Soloman encoder operation, for scrambling the bytes to increase resistance to burst errors (col. 3, lines 32-33).

Therefore, it would have been considered an obvious design choice to a person of skill in the art to perform the forward error correction operation of robust data twice (as opposed to once) in order to further enhance performing an error correction of burst errors arising from noise sources and to further increase resistance to burst errors.

Knutson et al does not particularly disclose <u>a data frame including a field sync</u> <u>signal containing multiplexing information</u>, and <u>performing channel equalization on the</u> <u>robust data in the data frame using the predefined sequences in order to enhance ghost cancellation performance of the robust data.</u>

However, Citta et al teaches ATV/MPEG Sync system comprising <u>a data frame</u> <u>including a field sync signal containing multiplexing information</u> for providing an improved/novel system for transmission and reception of digital data utilizing MPEG transport packets (Fig. 1; col. 2, lines 17-21).

Furthermore, Limberg teaches a method of operating the channel equalizer in a receiver for DTV signals comprising <u>performing channel equalization on the channel</u> <u>data in the data frame (Fig. 2, S3) based on ghost cancellation performance of the channel data</u> (Fig. 5, S32-S34).

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a method of processing a digital television signal as taught by Knutson et al to incorporate Citta et al's teaching as above so that the data frame includes a field sync signal containing multiplexing information for providing an improved/novel system for transmission and reception of digital data utilizing MPEG transport packets and further incorporate Limberg's teaching as above so as to perform channel equalization on Knutson's robust data in the data frame using the predefined sequences in order to enhance ghost cancellation performance of the robust data.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,955 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 79, Knutson et al discloses MPEG data (abs.).

Regarding claim 80, Knutson et al discloses predefined sequences (82) being inserted into the robust data periodically (with respect to an aux. packet)(col. 6, lines 35-45).

Regarding claim 82, Knutson et al discloses the normal data (Packet N) and the robust data (Aux. and/or OSD Packet) being multiplexed at a multiplexing ratio of 1:N (Fig. 3, 8 VSB format 2:1 and/or 16 VSB format 1:1).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 41-77 are allowed.

Independent claims 41 and 66 and dependent claims 42-65, 67-77 (by virtue of dependency) are allowed as having incorporated the allowable subject matter(s) as previously discussed in the last Office action as filed on 3/11/08.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the novel features as previously specified in independent claims 41 and 66.

Accordingly, if rejected claims are canceled, the application would be placed in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Shawn An* whose telephone number is 571-272-7324.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/791,955 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

8. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/SHAWN AN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621
6/07/08

Application/Control Number: 10/791,955

Page 6

Art Unit: 2621